# Child Sexual Abuse Prevention Applicant Screening Process – Red Flags

### **Application Red Flags**

- □ Questions have been left unanswered such as criminal history
- Affirmative answers to criminal background or accusations of sexual misconduct including: sexual assault, violent crimes, crimes against children, violence against animals, DUI/DWI, substance abuse, domestic violence, sexual misconduct, behavior not becoming of a teacher
- Gaps in employment
- □ Frequent moves within the community
- Multiple moves across different states
- □ Job changes midway through the year or program duration
- □ Credentials that have been suspended or revoked
- □ References that do not include direct supervisors
- □ Providing only personal references instead of professional references (volunteers specifically)
- □ References that are not aligned with employment history—important references missing
- □ Hobbies or interests that are overly childlike in nature
- □ Spending excessive time with children rather than with adults
- □ Apparent fixation on working with a particular age range or gender
- □ Spending lunches or break time with kids rather than adult colleagues
- □ Inappropriate motives for working with kids

#### **Interview Red Flags**

- Gut feeling that something just isn't right, that you are not seeing the whole picture
- □ Multiple interviewers who found the same red flags
- □ Multiple interviewers who found a series of different red flags
- □ Shows lack of character: honesty, respect, integrity
- □ Shows lack of empathy or a sense of entitlement
- □ Shows inappropriate boundaries with children, parents, or colleagues
- □ Has no understanding of the need for boundaries
- □ Considers boundaries to be an inhibitor to building good relationships with kids
- □ Provides inappropriate responses to what-if scenarios
- Overemphasis on building relationships with parents
- □ Lacks good judgment in discipline methods and/or rewards
- □ Seems to want to be "friends" with kids
- □ Has an unhealthy demand for children to respect authority
- □ Shows a concerning response to your child sexual abuse prevention program
  - $\circ$  This could be a negative response or it could be an overly enthusiastic response



# Child Sexual Abuse Prevention Applicant Screening Process – Red Flags

#### **Reference Check Red Flags**

- Only able to provide written references, no one willing to talk with you
- □ References provide less than satisfactory feedback
- □ References have a very different impression of the candidate than he has of himself
- □ Reference job details do not agree with information provided on the application
- □ References are not willing to recommend them for rehire
- □ References respond to difficult questions with hesitation, ambiguity, or avoidance
- □ References are overly negative or positive
- □ Candidate seems too good to be true

## **Background Check Red Flags**

- □ Background check turns up criminal history not disclosed on the application
- □ Candidate unable to provide a government ID and/or social security card for verification
- □ Candidate refuses to provide fingerprints
- □ Candidate shows up on the Sex Offender Registry
- □ Candidate shows up on the Child Abuse Registry
- □ Candidate shows up with a suspension or revocation of credentials on licensing database
- □ Candidate shows up with a negative record on a specific industry database
- Candidate has been previously dismissed or disqualified for employment by your organization
- □ Candidate has previously broken boundaries documented in your internal database
- □ Inappropriate information is found about the candidate via an internet search
- □ Candidate refuses to agree to recurring background checks
- Candidate pushes for employment to start before the results of their background check is received

